

DRC
SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT
REPORT

Division: Engineering

Member: Tim Welch
Engineering Design Mgr.
Office Ph. (954) 828-5123
Office Fax: (954) 828-5275
Email: timw@cityfort.com

Project Name: Panoramic Enterprises

Case #: 89-R-01

Date: 9/11/01

Comments :

1. The engineer shall design and apply for the appropriate general or surface water management license from the Broward County Department of Environmental Protection (BCDPEP). This license and associated calculations for compliance with Chapter 27 criteria for surface water management, Pollution Control Code must be submitted with application for Building Permit.
2. Please explain the apparent discrepancy on the number of parking spaces provided and that shown on the plans. Plan notes appear to indicate that 12 spaces are provided while an excess of the reported required number of 14 are drawn on the plans.
3. Provide a lighting plan for parking areas for this site in accordance with Section 47-20.14 of the ULDR.
4. Indicate where on the site the contractor will stage and store for construction operations, as well as receive material deliveries of concrete, steel, etc.

DRC
SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT
REPORT

Division: Fire

Member: Albert Weber
761-5875

Project Name: Peter Buhl/ Panoramic
Enterprises

Case #: 89-R-01

Date: 9-11-01

Comments:

Dead end corridor section requires fire rated windows at permit phase. NFPA101-1994, 5-5.3.3. Also consider 16-3.5.2.

DRC
SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT
REPORT

Division: Info. Systems

Member: Mark Pallans (GRG)
828-5790

Project Name: Peter Buhl/Panoramic Enterprises

Case #: 89-R-01

Date: September 11, 2001

Comments:

No apparent interference will result from this plan at this time.

DRC

SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT

REPORT

12) Provide dimensions for all setbacks on all sheets including floor plan and elevations. Indicate property lines and setbacks

13) Provide the set back lines and property lines on all floor plans, the landscape plans and on all elevations.

14) Provide narrative (point-by-point) outlining compliance with ULDR Sec. 47-12.7.

15) Provide narrative (point-by-point) outlining compliance with ULDR Sec., 47-25.3.A, neighborhood compatibility and criteria set forth in the Neighborhood Compatibility and Preservation section. .

16) Provide a comprehensive analysis of all impacts including but not limited to, shadow, effects during construction, groundwater levels alteration, visual impacts, noise impacts, light impacts, and others that the proposal may have upon the adjacent National Register Site, and discuss fully all proposed efforts and design features to mitigate those impacts. This analysis may be sent for review by City Consultants qualified to perform historic resources analysis and all costs for such review shall be the responsibility of the applicant.

17) Comply with ULDR Section 47-25.2.P. concerning archaeological significance.

18) The following list of Goals, Objectives and Policies as stated in the City of Fort Lauderdale Comprehensive Plan, Historic Preservation Element, apply to the proposed development. At a minimum, provide a statement of how each of these, and any other applicable Comprehensive Plan provisions, have been addressed by this development proposal:

Historic Preservation Element

Goal 1 – to provide for the identification, recognition and evaluation for the historic resources of Fort Lauderdale and to enhance public awareness and involve them in various applicable aspects of historic preservation

Objective 10 Preserve, when possible, existing mechanisms for the notification and involvement of historic property owners and the interested public in historic preservation activities.

Objective 11 Integrate the review of impacts on historic and archaeological resources into the city's land development regulations and into the existing regulatory framework of state, regional and local government agencies.

Policy 11.2 Require all development proposals from the private or public sector which are subject to DRC review to indicate the location, extent, status and proposed impact to historic or archaeological resources, utilizing available survey data or the results of historic or archaeological assessments made for the express purpose of providing said information.

Policy 11.3 All proposed impacts to historic resources shall be reported to the Historic Preservation Board for review and comment.

See also pages 11-3 and 11-4 Historic Element support.

DRC
SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT
REPORT

19) Demonstrate how the proposed project supports a land use pattern that enhances the nearby tourist attractions of the Central Beach Area, and the Bonnet House in accordance with Objective 35 and Policy 35.1 of the Future Land Use Element of the Comprehensive Plan.

20) Discuss any proposed recreation (spa?, exercise rooms?) areas with Zoning Rep. and applicant at the meeting. Discuss provision for required parking for these uses.

21) Provide two oblique aerial drawings from opposing views, which indicate the mass outline of all proposed structure(s) and the outlines of the adjacent existing and previously approved structures. These mass studies are to be shown on an aerial photograph or by use of an isometric perspective or axonometric drawing of the site and the surrounding adjacent area.

22) Indicate property lines and setbacks on elevations

23) Discuss sidewalk widths and sidewalk condition with Engineering Rep. at the meeting. Recommend min. 7 ft. sidewalk on People Street.

24) Indicate all site plan information and calculation table on site plan sheet.

25) Discuss new proposed back-out parking with Engineering Rep. at the meeting.

26) Label use (i.e. proposed hotel expansion/addition) and total units in calculation table on site plan sheet.

Additional comments may be forthcoming at the meeting.

DRC
SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT
REPORT

Division: Police

Member: Robert Dodder
828-6421
Beeper 497-0628

Project Name: Panoramic Ent.

Case #: 89-R-01

Date: 9/11/01

Comments:

The East and South elevations suggest that the existing windows are of the jalousie type. If so, these should be replaced with more securable types, such as, single hung.

The guest room access, should be through the use of a card reader access control system that is capable of producing an audit trail.

Guest room doors should be metal clad, set in metal frames.

What type of signage will be used to control the use of each off-street parking space?

What type of security design and or process is planned for the off-street spaces on Vistamar Street?

Response to these comments are to be in a narrative format, on letterhead and signed.

